

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/869,335	12/30/2001	Koichi Nishimura	010931	5697
23850	7590 09/03/2004		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			ZEMEL, IRINA SOPHIA	
1725 K STR	EET, NW			
SUITE 1000		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			1711	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\overline{C}$			
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/869,335	NISHIMURA ET A	AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Irina S. Zemel	1711	dd			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ac	adress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however,  bely within the statutory minimun  d will apply and will expire SIX (  tell cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered time 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	<u>August 2003</u> .					
,— ·····- · · · · · · · ·	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideratio					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a		red to by the Examiner	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ection is required if the d	rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 (				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of t	ents have been receive ents have been receive riority documents have eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed.  ed in Application No  be been received in this Nationa  ).	al Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Pa (08) 5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date htice of Informal Patent Application (P her:	TO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/869,335

Art Unit: 1711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2, 4-5, and 8-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,699,936 (hereinafter "936 patent") in view of Polymer Technology Dictionary and US Patent 6,500,884 to Tsujimura et al.. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application (earlier filed application) and the claims of the '936 patent (later filed application) claim essentially the same subject matter wherein the instant application claims more generic rubber components and more specific crosslinking agents. The generically claimed components are anticipated and are obvious from the specifically claimed components. In the instant case, the generically claimed in '936

Application/Control Number: 09/869,335

Art Unit: 1711

application. The nitrile diene rubber, on the other hand, is obvious from the genus of nitrelle rubbers becase diene-nitrile rubbers are most commonly known class of nitrile rubber genus. See for, example, Polymer Technology Dictionary, page 270. The genus of triazine and quinoxaline crosslinking agents is anticipated and is anticipated and obvious from specifically claimed mercaptitiazines and dimercaptoquinoxaline. Specifically claimed crosslinking agents (mercaptitiazines and dimercaptoquinoxaline) wold have been obvious from the genus of triazines and quinoxalines as one of the most common curing agents for halogenated rubbers in the respective genus. See for example, US Patent 6,500,884 to Tsujimura et al. Therefore, the inventions as claimed in the instant application and '936 patent are obvious over each other.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-11 are allowable over the prior art of record. Crosslincable compositions comprising blends of nitrile rubbers and epihalohydrin rubbers and various crosslinking agents are known in the art. See for example, US Patent 4,048,261 to Starmer (hereinafter "Starmer"); US Patent 3,657,393 to Komuro et al., (hereinafter "Komuro"); or JP 60-141739 to Fujikura Rubber Works (hereinafter "Fujikura"). All of those references disclose crosslinking the crosslinkable blends with one curing agent or a common curing system that crosslinks both the polymeric components. While use of mixtures of known curing agents, such as claimed in the instant application, would have been obvious, applicants demonstrated that the composition according to the present

Application/Control Number: 09/869,335

**Art Unit: 1711** 

invention exhibit unexpectedly improved physical properties (permanent set and ozone resistance) as compared to the compositions having common curing systems.

Applicants should note that while ISR and the references cited on the ISR were provided to the Office, listing of the references in the ISR is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irina S. Zemel whose telephone number is (571)272-0577. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571)272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ISZ

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700